KCC - Kent County Council Highway Services

## SWALE JOINT TRANSPORTATION BOARD (JTB)

## Updates are in italics Reported to this meeting

Minute No	Subject	SBC/ KCC	Recommendations Made by Board	KCC/SBC - Comments/date due back to JTB
478/12/22	Faversham Pedestrianisation	SBC	<ul> <li>(1) To proceed with the implementation of Faversham Town Centre Road closures.</li> <li>(2) To proceed with the preparation of the draft traffic order and formal consultation on the traffic order.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Scheme is in construction.</li> <li>TRO has been advertised.</li> </ol>
337/10/23	LCWIP - Rural Highways Review - Borden & Grove Park Ward	SBC	<ol> <li>(1) That a Multi-Agency task force be formed to monitor the wider road network to ensure that the current programme of works and any future planned works, delivers the mitigations to allow for the regeneration of the shared space rural lanes and implement the interventions where and when appropriate.</li> <li>(2) That the Task Force encompasses Hartlip, Newington &amp; Upchurch Ward and Bobbing Iwade &amp; Lower Halstow Ward.</li> <li>(3) That the group designs, implements and monitors traffic management during the Key Street and Grovehurst roundabout works to ensure a continual flow of traffic on the A2 through Sittingbourne.</li> <li>(4) That the group liaised with large employers, logistics companies, public transport providers, Medway Council, Utilities, and other organisations, including schools to reduce the impact of congestion during the works.</li> </ol>	To be discussed at the rescheduled EMT on Thursday 9 <sup>th</sup> November

Minute No	Subject	SBC/ KCC	Recommendations Made by Board	KCC/SBC - Comments/date due back to JTB
			(5) That the group was managed publicly to help drivers avoid and reduce congestion.	
733/10/24	Informal Consultation Results - Petition for Residents' Parking, Wellwinch Road, Sittingbourne	SBC	(1) That the results of the recent informal consultation with residents of Wellwinch Road, Victoria Road and Regency Court, Sittingbourne be noted and the Residents' Parking Scheme is <u>not</u> extended into any of these roads.	(1) Completed
734/10/24	Formal objections to Traffic Regulation Order Swale Amendment 56 2024	SBC	<ul> <li>(1) That the formal responses received during the consultation period for the Traffic Regulation Order be noted, and:- a. The disabled persons' parking bay outside 6 Bredhurst Close, Sheerness be formalised.</li> <li>b. The proposed double yellow lines in Park Road, Faversham be removed from the current Order until an informal consultation has taken place with residents on these and other requested double yellow lines in Park Road.</li> </ul>	Traffic Order will be amended and progressed to Sealing/Completion. Informal Consultation results for Park Road, Faversham, will be reported to March 2025 JTB.
735/10/24	Petition - Request for Residents' Parking Scheme, Belmont Road, Faversham	SBC	That the submitted petition be noted and officers undertake an informal consultation with residents of Belmont Road, Faversham on the possible introduction of a Residents' Parking Scheme on this road.	Informal Consultation results will be reported to JTB in March 2025.
736/10/24	Informal Consultation Results - Proposed	SBC	(1) That the results of the recent informal consultation with residents be noted and the disabled bays be removed, and a	(1) Traffic Regulation Order to be drafted – aiming to report any formal objections to JTB in March 2025. Formalising parking partly on footways

Minute No	Subject	SBC/ KCC	Recommendations Made by Board	KCC/SBC - Comments/date due back to JTB
	Removal of Disabled Persons' Parking Bays & Installation of Double Yellow Lines - Strode Crescent, Sheerness		<ul> <li>double yellow line be installed, and officers look at installing dashed lines for parking partially on the footway.</li> <li>(2) That residents be consulted upon the option of extending double yellow lines on the opposite side of the road.</li> <li>(3) That the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Transportation Board (JTB) look further into the Council's byelaws at the next JTB Chair's briefing in relation to the issue of parked commercial vehicles on residential streets.</li> </ul>	requires footway to be reconstructed to protect utility apparatus therefore not financially viable. (2) Proposed extension to double yellow lines on opposite side of road will be formally consulted as part of Traffic Regulation Order.